

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,545	12/20/2001	Masaaki Iwane	35.C16085	2186
5514	7590 03/20/	2003	,	
FITZPATR	ICK CELLA HAF	EXAMINER ANDERSON, MATTHEW A		
	FELLER PLAZA C, NY 10112			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	7
			DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•					Onl				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/022,545		IWANE ET AL.	•				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Matthew A. Ande		1765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp indence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 201	<u>December 2001</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.									
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 January 1220</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
·	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* (	<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
•	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.6</u> . 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/022,545 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

1. Claims 1-9, drawn to method, classified in class 117, subclass 54.

II. Claims 10-20, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 118, subclass 400.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to perform LPE with now cooling flow to the interior of the solvent.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Michael O'Neill's representative, Damond Vatnay on 3/14/2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-9. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/022,545

Art Unit: 1765

in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Page 3

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masatomo et al. (JP-43-11-189498).

Masatomo et al. discloses a method of Liquid phase crystal growth. A substrate of Si on sapphire was used to grow a III-V semiconductor based on Ga. The growth can include an additional metal such as Al or In (the solute) dissolved in the Ga (solvent). A gas containing nitrogen is blown up through the solvent (see Fig. 8) to react at the substrate. The gas is not preheated and thus cools the solvent in the central interior portion of the solvent as shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 1 shows that the introduction can

Application/Control Number: 10/022,545 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

be from a tube immersed in the crucible. Fig. 8 shows that the gas medium can flow through a hole in the crucible.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masatomo et al. as described above .

Masatomo et al. does not explicitly described the intended use limitation on the apparatus used in the method.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to flow the gas medium through a hole formed in a jig for holding the substrate because such an apparatus limitation does not affect the process in a manipulative sense (gas would have still been applied to the growth surface). Motivation for such an apparatus modification would be reducing entrance points for contamination into the reaction chamber.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,545 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1765** 

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

The growth of Si layers by LPE as described in claim 1 was not described in the

prior art.

**Conclusion** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Anderson whose telephone number is (703)

308-0086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,545

Art Unit: 1765

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MAA March 17, 2003

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700